Why	What	Who	WI

Improvement in DT Segments reconstruction

Stefano Lacaprara¹ and Artur Apresyan²

¹INFN Padova, ²CALTECH

Ewk/Met kickoff meeting 28 april 2010



hen

Why

Performance of Missing Transverse Energy Reconstruction in events from pp collision data with $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV containing EWK bosons.

~ ~ ~	DRAFT
	S Physics Analysis Summary
	Performance in Events Containing Electroweak sons from pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV
	The CMS Collaboration
	Abstract
of mass energy, a	ing of 2010, the LJRC delivered product particle softwares with a center- of 7 ZeV. In this nucle, we preserve results of shadles of missing innor- no measured by the CMS delivering, in events exhibiting W browns, Z and, high francescene measurements the physics. The predominance of several reconstruction algorithms in compared.
	suble in draft reade. Flowe make sure the values below make serve-
PDPAuthers	- PDFTule
" PDP%drive:	

Editors J.Alexander (senior) S.Lacaprara and A.Apresyan

- Select W and Z in electron and muon channel, following recipes from VBTF.
- Study MET(s) (Calo raw and Type-I, Type-II corrected -, Tc, PF, ...) for W events, w and w/o the lepton removed (recoil);
- Study MET(s) in Z events with and w/out one and both lepton(s) removed;
- Also high-pt isolated photons.
- If possible, study also W/Z+N-jets events;



Why	What	Who	When

Today

- Present updated schedule (two week earlier);
- Present and discuss list of plots with names of who does what
- Agree on basic selections;
- Feedback from you!
- Status report (much appreciated) by Michael, Matthieu, Jordan, Mara, Ulla and Freya

New TWiki Address and PAS svn browser

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/pub/CMS/EwkMetComm

https://svnweb.cern.ch/cern/wsvn/tdr2/notes/JME-10-005/trunk/



Preliminary general selection

- Use standard selection of goodcoll/run: see Collisions2010Recipes
- Select W and Z in electron and muon channel, following recipes from VBTF, including trigger, lepton/JetID ...: loose in MET/MT cut.
- Use all MET(s): Calo -raw, Type-I, Type-II corrected-, Tc, PF;
- Always including the official ecal/hf cleaning suitable for each MET(s);
- want to study MET and hadron recoil.
- define *recoil* as MET removing the lepton(s) for W and Z events, including the e/hcal deposit associated to the lepton (muon included)
- W-Ersatz (Z with 1 lepton removed and M_Z/M_W rescaled) is more relevant for W fit and background determination. Would need a sizeable Z statistics, which we might not have by pas time UNFN (I)



Wh

W: initial plots list

In both $W \rightarrow \mu \nu$ and $W \rightarrow e \nu$ channels!

- MET/MT distribution in W candidate events and decomposition;
- recoil distribution in W candidate events;
- opening angle between lepton and recoil;
- opening angle between lepton and recoil as function of recoil magnitude (scatter plot);
- if possible, reproduce MET distributions in W candidates with >= 1 jet (>= 2 jets, >= 3 jets);



17	. /	1	

Wh

When

Z: initial plots list

In both $Z ightarrow \mu \mu$ and W ightarrow ee channels!

- MET distribution and decomposition
- recoil distribution
- recoil versus qT (Z-momentum) (scatter plot)
- opening angle between recoil direction and the direction of qT
- opening angle between recoil direction and the direction of qT versus the magnitude of qT (scatter plot)
- component of recoil perpendicular/parallel to bisector
- component of recoil parallel to bisector versus component of qT parallel to bisector (scatter plot)
- mean and RMS value of component of recoil parallel to bisector versus component of qT parallel to bisector
- if possible, reproduce plots above for Z events with >= 1 jet, >= 2 jets



(日) (同) (日) (日)

Wh

$\gamma + jet$: initial plots list

$\gamma + jet$

- recoil distribution
- recoil versus qT (scatter plot)
- opening angle between recoil direction and the direction of photon
- opening angle between recoil direction and the direction of photon versus photon momentum (scatter plot)
- component of recoil perpendicular to photon
- component of recoil parallel to photon
- component of recoil parallel to photon versus photon momentum (scatter plot)
- mean value of component of recoil parallel to photon versus photon momentum
- RMS value of component of recoil parallel to photon versus photon momentum
- ratio of the component of recoil parallel to photon over photon mome



Why	What	Who	When
Who does what			

General comment: many people are interested in $VB + N_{jets}$. We assume that $N \in \mathbb{N}$, namely include also N = 0. Not clear if we will have enough statistics by deadline for N > 0;

Muon channel

- W → μν: Padova+CIEMAT+Pflow^a+CalTech+Brown+Cornell (Met significance)
- $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$: Padova+CIEMAT+UIC+CalTech

^awhich is not an institute, we know!, but is quicker to refer to this way

Electron channel

- $W \rightarrow e\nu$: IC+Wisconsin+Roma+Saclay+Minnesota+MIT+Cornell (Met significance)
- $Z \rightarrow ee$: IC+Wisconsin+Roma+Saclay+Minnesota+MIT



э

・ロット 全部 アイヨア・

Why	What	Who	When
Who does	what /II		

$\gamma + jet$

Texas+Hamburg

remarks

- Please see task from TWiki Page for more detailed list
- Do we missed someone???
- it would be nice to have two groups people for each plot (or set of plots) to share and cross check results Almost true everywhere
- Warning: we will bug you for plots!
- Please send us any past AN/PAS you think is relevant for this work

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ ヨト ・

Why	What	Who	When
NFW times	scale		

Timescale anticipated by two weeks!

- May 3 We'd like to have a set of preliminary plots, data/MC with the available statistics collected so far;
- May 17 Physics week: show status report;
- May 31 Freeze data. $\int \mathcal{L} \sim 1 \ pb^{-1}$?;
 - June 7 AN and PAS ready;
- June 15 Pre-approval;
- June 28 ARC ok (meaning hard interaction with ARC in the previous weeks);
- July 5-8 Approval;
- July 22- ICHEP;

Time was short, now is SHORTER! We are preparing a draft 0 of paper, later today.

