### Status update on $B^0 \rightarrow \eta' (\rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-) K_S^0$ Time Dependent $\mathcal{LP}$ analysis

#### Stefano Lacaprara

stefano.lacaprara@pd.infn.it

**INFN** Padova

WG3 kickoff meeting, KEK, march, 10<sup>th</sup>, 2016





A sensitivity study for Time-Dependent CP violation analysis in the  ${\sf B}^0\to\eta'{\sf K}^0$  channel, a charmless  $b\to {\sf s}q\bar{q}$  decay

- CP asymmetry from time-dependent decay rate into CP eigenstates;
- not as sensitive as b ightarrow c $qar{q}~(\sin 2\phi_1)$ 
  - $S_{\eta' \kappa^0} = \sin 2\phi_1^{e\!f\!f}$  tightly related to  $\sin 2\phi_1$   $\Delta S_{\eta' \kappa^0}$  can be shifted more than SM prediction in case new physics is present in the loop
- $\bullet~{\rm Similar}~{\rm to}~{\rm B}^0\to\phi{\rm K}^0_{\rm S}$ 
  - more complex final state;
  - ▶ large BR:  $\sim 6.6 \cdot 10^{-5} ~(\sim 10 \times \text{BR}(\text{B}^0 \rightarrow \phi \text{K}^0_{\text{S}}))^{[\text{CLEO}(1998)]}$
  - ► actual uncertainties **statistically dominated**  $\sigma_{stat} = 0.07, \sigma_{syst} = 0.03^{[Belle(2014)]}$
  - > projected for 50 ab  $^{-1}$   $\sigma_{stat} = 0.008, \sigma_{syst} = 0.008^{[Urquijo(2015)]}$
  - no competition from LHCb (neutrals);







- first presented at last B2GM [link];
- today concentrate only in final state with  $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ;
  - neglecting  $K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$  and  $K^0_L$
- final states considered:
  - $\eta'(\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma})\pi^+\pi^-)$
  - $\eta'(\rightarrow \eta_{3\pi})\pi^+\pi^-)$
- moved to new version rel-00-06-00;
- analyzed full background BGx1 available statistics (200 fb<sup>-1</sup>);
- added peaking background (mixed and charged);
  - detailed selection breakdown
- update/corrige on  $\Delta t$  resolution,
  - signal and tag side resolution on  $\Delta z$ ;
- continuum suppression status;
- started with multi dimensional fit.

S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)





good candidate selection 
$${\sf B}^0 o \eta' ( o \eta_{\gamma\gamma} \pi^+ \ \pi^-) {\sf K}^{0+-}_{\sf S}$$

• Reconstruct decay chain with mass constrains for  $\eta$ ,  $\eta'$ ,  $K_{S}^{0}$ , • vertex only (w/o mass) for  $B^{0}$ 

 $\blacksquare \ \eta \to \gamma \gamma:$ 

- ▶ gamma:all:  $0.06 < E_{\gamma} < 6 \text{ GeV},$ -150 <  $clus_{time} < 0, E_9/E_{25} > 0.75$
- ▶  $M(\eta_{\gamma\gamma}) \in [0.52, 0.57]$  GeV;
- $\blacksquare \ \eta' \to \eta_{\gamma\gamma} \pi^+ \pi^-:$
- pi:all
- $\Delta \log \mathcal{L}(\pi, \mathsf{K}) > -10;$  new
- ▶  $d_0(\pi^{\pm}) < 0.08$ mm;
- ►  $z_0(\pi^{\pm}) < 0.1$ mm;
- ▶ N hits<sub>PXD</sub> $(\pi^{\pm}) > 1$
- ▶  $M(\eta') \in [0.93, 0.98]$  GeV;

if  $N_{cands} > 1$ , select candidate with highest P-value<sub>vtx</sub>( $B_0, \eta', \eta, \kappa_S^0$ )

#### $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$

KEK, 10/3/2016

 $\blacksquare \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{0}} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}:$ 

- K\_S0:mdst
- $M(K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-) \in [0.48, 0.52] \text{ GeV};$
- $\blacksquare B^0 \to \eta' (\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma} \pi^+ \pi^-) K_S^{0^{+-}}$
- $M_{bc} > 5.25 \, \text{GeV};$
- ►  $|\Delta E| < 0.1 \, \text{GeV};$
- ▶ P-value<sub>vtx</sub> $(B_0, \eta', K_S^0) > 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$







Using full MC5 available statistics: 2 MEv





#### good candidate selection $B^0 \rightarrow \eta' (\rightarrow \eta_{3\pi} \pi^+ \pi^-) (K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-)$ :

- Reconstruct decay chain with mass constrains for η, η', K<sup>0</sup><sub>S</sub>,
  vertex only (w/o mass) for B<sup>0</sup>
  π<sup>0</sup>.
  - ▶ gamma:all:  $0.06 < E_{\gamma} < 6 \text{ GeV}, -150 < clus_{time} < 0, E_9/E_{25} > 0.75$
  - $M(\pi^0) \in [100, 150]$  MeV
  - $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0:$
  - ▶ pi:all
  - $\Delta \log \mathcal{L}(\pi, \mathsf{K}) > -10;$  new
  - ▶  $M(\eta_{3\pi}) \in [0.52, 0.57]$  GeV;
  - $d_0(\pi^{\pm}) < 0.08$  mm;
  - ►  $z_0(\pi^{\pm}) < 0.1$ mm;
  - N hits<sub>PXD</sub> $(\pi^{\pm}) > 1$

 $\ \, \eta' \to \eta_{3\pi} \pi^+ \pi^-:$ 

- ▶  $M(\eta') \in [0.93, 0.98]$  GeV;
- $\blacksquare \mathsf{K}^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-:$
- ► K\_S0:mdst
- $M(K_{S}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) \in [0.48, 0.52] \text{ GeV};$
- $\blacksquare \ \mathsf{B}^{\mathsf{0}} \to \eta' (\to \eta_{\gamma\gamma} \pi^+ \ \pi^-) \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{0}^{+-}}_{\mathsf{S}}$
- ▶  $M_{bc} > 5.25 \, \text{GeV};$
- ▶  $|\Delta E| < 0.15 \, \text{GeV};$
- $P\text{-value}_{vtx}(B_0, \eta', K_S^0) > 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$

if  $N_{cands} > 1$ , select candidate with highest P-value<sub>vtx</sub>( $B_0, \eta', \eta, K_S^0$ )







Reco eff is as good as  $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$  channel. 50% eff drop due to poor resolution on  $\Delta E$ ,  $M_{\eta}$ ,  $M_{\eta'}$  all coming from  $\pi^0$  reconstruction in  $\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  decay

S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

$$PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_{a}$$

# Good candidates distributions





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

 $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$ 





# NB: bug found after presentation at last B2GM. Wrong reso reported. Now corrected



S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

## $\sum_{z \in T} \Delta z$ resolution for signal and tag vertexes





### $\sum_{z \in T} \Delta z$ resolution for signal and tag vertexes



 $\Delta z(\text{signal}) = 69.5 \ \mu m$  for  $\eta_{\gamma\gamma}$  and 47.5  $\mu m$  for  $\eta_{3\pi}$  $\Delta z(\text{tag}) = 36 \ \mu m$  for both NFN





- Background MC sample **BGx1**
- single skim for both  $K_{S}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  channels  $(\eta_{\gamma\gamma}, \eta_{3\pi})$ ;
  - Not skimming for  $K_S^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$  final state: problem with memory, jobs crashed.
  - my guess combinatorics some time too large?
  - Should I try an harder skimming?
- Using all available statistics:  $\int \mathcal{L} dt = 200 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ;
  - Skim produced at KEKCC (LSF)
  - Skim output moved to local sorage in Padova
  - First from KEKCC to Naples Tier2, then to Padova
  - much better bandwidth than direct trasnfer!
- Numbers before cut on continuum discriminating variable





${\sf B}^{\sf 0}  o \eta' ( o \eta_{\gamma\gamma}\pi^+ \; \pi^-) {\sf K}^{{\sf 0}^{+-}}_{\sf S}$						
Sample	# Ev (M)	Skim (M)	$\epsilon_{\it skim}$	pre-sel	sel	$\epsilon_{sel}$
иū	321	2.33	$0.72\cdot 10^{-2}$	52353	673	$2.10\cdot 10^{-6}$
dd	80.2	.617	$0.77\cdot 10^{-2}$	14568	181	$2.26 \cdot 10^{-6}$
s <del>s</del>	76.6	.807	$1.05\cdot 10^{-2}$	14801	126	$1.64\cdot 10^{-6}$
сē	266	3.85	$1.45\cdot 10^{-2}$	71112	924	$3.48\cdot10^{-6}$
$B^0 \overline{B}^0$	111	.123	$0.11\cdot 10^{-2}$	601	14	$0.13\cdot 10^{-6}$
$B^+B^-$	106	.130	$0.12\cdot 10^{-2}$	504	7	$0.07\cdot 10^{-6}$
total	960	2.662	$0.82 \cdot 10^{-2}$	153939	1925	$2.00\cdot 10^{-6}$
$B^0 \to \eta' (\to \eta_{3\pi} \pi^+ \pi^-) (K^0_S \to \pi^+ \pi^-)$						
Sample	# Ev (M)	Skim (M)	$\epsilon_{\it skim}$	pre-sel	sel	$\epsilon_{\it sel}$
иū	321	2.33	$0.72\cdot 10^{-2}$	153401	83	$0.26\cdot 10^{-6}$
dā	80.2	.617	$0.77\cdot 10^{-2}$	41965	31	$0.39\cdot 10^{-6}$
<i>s</i> 5	76.6	.807	$1.05\cdot 10^{-2}$	60287	27	$0.35\cdot 10^{-6}$
сī	266	3.85	$1.45\cdot 10^{-2}$	378406	240	$0.90\cdot 10^{-6}$
$B^0 \overline{B}^0$	111	.123	$0.11 \cdot 10^{-2}$	8795	17	$0.15\cdot 10^{-6}$
$B^+B^-$	106	.130	$0.12\cdot 10^{-2}$	9368	2	$0.02\cdot 10^{-6}$

S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

# Background distributions





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)



KEK, 10/3/2016 14 / 23

# Background distributions





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

 $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_s^0$ 

KEK, 10/3/2016 15 / 23





- Start playing with continuum suppression variable;
- Looked at distribution for signal and continuum for all standard 30 variables;
- Known problem with cos<sub>TBTO</sub>: signal is more jet-like than continuum background;
- other variables are exceptionally discriminating
  - eg: KSFW(et), KSFW(hso10), KSFW(hoo0)
  - Very strong correlation among these three, both for signal and background;
- Overall discriminating power of MVA based on 30 variables is extreme!
- Tried to play with variables
  - remove the three above
  - $\blacktriangleright$  reduce total number of variables used (30  $\rightarrow$  20  $\rightarrow$  10), by removing the ones with lower rank (BDT)







distributions for all 30 variables in backup







TMVA for continuum suppression





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

KEK, 10/3/2016 19 / 23

## TMVA for continuum suppression (II)



S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

/NP (N/L

 $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_s^0$ 





- Try to work toward a multidimensional fit to extract parameter of interest (**S** and **C**)
- using package RooRarFit with root6
- reusing Alessandro work as much as possible
- Variables used in the fit:
  - ► (∆t)
  - ► ΔE
  - ► M<sub>bc</sub>
  - ► *M*<sub>η'</sub>
  - (continum suppression variable not yet)
- So far, fit works w/o time-dependent part
- Trying to generate toys, but not yet there

# PDF fit results examples





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)





- Some progress since previous presentation;
  - not as much as I'd have liked;
- Some of the missing pieces are in place;
  - full background, including peaking;
  - continuum suppression technically there;
  - first working multidimensional fit;

#### TODO:

- undesrtand/fix for continuum suppression;
- Work on fit
  - ★ time dependent part, toys, stability, ...
- redo for  $K_S^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$
- Still on track for B2TIP
- help is welcome!





Additional or backup slides







## Best candidate distributions





# Good candidates distributions





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

 $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$ 

### Best candidate distributions





# Background distributions





S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

 $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$ 

KEK, 10/3/2016 6 / 17



















#### S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

#### KEK, 10/3/2016 9 / 17







#### S.Lacaprara (INFN Padova)

#### KEK, 10/3/2016 10 / 17











#### Background

#### Correlation Matrix (background)



#### Signal

#### Correlation Matrix (signal)



 $PBz \rightarrow \eta' K_S^0$ 





















 $\gamma^2$  / ndf = 0.542

ξ = -49.2 ± 11 E<sub>and</sub> = 5.28686 ± 0.00011 G

A RooPlot of "M<sub>bc</sub>"









- [CLEO(1998)] CLEO. Observation of high momentum η' production in B decays. PRL, 81:1786, 1998. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1786. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.1786.
- [Belle(2014)] Belle. Measurement of time-dependent cp violation in  $b_0 \rightarrow \eta' k_0$  decays. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2014 (10):165, 2014. doi: 10.1007/JHEP10(2014)165. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10%282014%29165.
- [Urquijo(2015)] Phillip Urquijo. Comparison between belle ii and lhcb physics projections. Technical Report BELLE2-NOTE-PH-2015-004, Apr 2015.