

The Cryogenic Dark Matter Search: Status and Prospects

Jeffrey Filippini University of California, Berkeley for the CDMS collaboration

TeV Particle Astrophysics - Venice, Italy - August 30, 2007

Outline

 Workings of the CDMS experiment

 \mathbf{O}

Results of the 2-Tower run

Status of the 5-Tower run

The future: SuperCDMS

Dark Matter and its Detection



Dark matter is out there...

Strong theory motivation for **thermal WIMP**

- Stable, massive, neutral particle
- Relic density => $\sigma_{XX} \sim 0.1 \text{ pb}$ => $M_X \sim 100 \text{ GeV/c}^2$

Dark Matter and its Detection



Dark matter is out there...

Strong theory motivation for **thermal WIMP**

- Stable, massive, neutral particle
- Relic density => $\sigma_{XX} \sim 0.1 \text{ pb}$ => $M_X \sim 100 \text{ GeV/c}^2$

... so it may interact on Earth!



Crossing symmetry, v_{galactic}~10⁻³c, coherent enhancement (spin-independent scattering) => ~10 keV nuclear recoils, <<1/kg-day

Direct Detection of WIMPs

Radioactive and cosmogenic backgrounds demand

- Background reduction
 - cleanliness
 - shielding
 - passive (depth, Pb, poly)active (muon veto)
- Background discrimination
 - multiplicity
 - dE/dx

CDMS in a nutshell: Event by event discrimination of nuclear and electron recoils using ionization and (athermal) phonons with no background subtraction





ZIP Detectors (Z-sensitive Ionization and Phonon)



Phonon side: 4 quadrants
of athermal phonon sensors
=> energy measurement



Charge side: 2 concentric electrodes

ZP Detectors: onization





Zero-energy resolution ~250 eV, => ~1% at high energies

Fiducial volume cut from divided electrode ("**guard ring**")

ZIP Detectors: Phonons





4 readout channels, each 1036 W TESs in parallel
Zero-energy resolution
100 eV in each channel, total ~5% at higher energies (after position correction)

Yield Discrimination



Primary electron recoil rejection >1,000,000:1

Good agreement with Lindhard theory

Near-Surface Events



ZIP Detectors: Z-sensitivity



- Primary risetime (time from 10% - 40% in phonon amplitude for largest pulse)
- Primary delay (time from 20% charge amplitude to 20% phonon amplitude for largest pulse)

Surface event rejection > **IOO:I**

2000 - PA **Primary risetime** 1800 PB PC 1600 PD QI 1400 QO 1200 digitizer bins 1000 800 600H 400H 200 0 **Primary delay** -200400 420 440 460 480 500 520 time / us

ZIP Detectors: Z-sensitivity



Analysis Improvements

More exposure brings greater challenges: maintain signal acceptance with greater background rejection



Surface events Neutrons (TIZ2, 2-T run)

"Standard" timing parameter (risetime+delay)

Analysis Improvements

Neutrons

More exposure brings greater challenges: maintain signal acceptance with greater background rejection





"Standard" timing parameter (risetime+delay) Discrimination parameter from χ^2 tests using three shape parameters

More sophisticated analyses increase separation using vast information in our ZIP traces!

Further work on neural nets, position-tuned cuts, pulse fitting, ...

Soudan Underground Lab



Soudan Underground Lab



Two Tower Results (2005)



Blind analysis: cuts set with WIMP-search NR band masked

- •Data quality cuts
- •Veto-anticoincidence cut
- •Q_{inner} (fiducial volume) cut
- Ionization yield cut
- •Phonon timing cut

74.5 live days (2004) 1.25 kg Ge + 0.6 kg Si





0.4±0.2±0.2 Ge background expected

0.4±0.9±0.5 Si background expected

Two Tower Results (2005)



Blind analysis: cuts set with WIMP-search NR band masked

- •Data quality cuts
- •Veto-anticoincidence cut
- •Q_{inner} (fiducial volume) cut
- Ionization yield cut
- •Phonon timing cut

74.5 live days (2004) 1.25 kg Ge + 0.6 kg Si



0.4±0.2±0.2 Ge background expected

0.4±0.9±0.5 Si background expected

1 Ge event (run with poor detector performance - oops!)**0** Si events



Two Tower Limits

90% CL upper limits assuming standard halo, *spin-indenpent* coupling (*A*² scaling)

90% CL upper limits assuming standard halo, *spin-dependent* coupling to neutrons





Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 011302 (2006) astro-ph/0509259

Phys. Rev. D **73**, 011102 (2006) astroph/0509269



Two Tower Limits

90% CL upper limits assuming standard halo, *spin-indenpent* coupling (*A*² scaling)

1 picobarn = 10^{-36} cm² 10-38) 10-39 -40 AMA 1996 DAMA Edelweiss 2003 10⁻⁴² Zeplin-l CDMS (Ge) 2-Towe CDMS (Ge) combined 50 500 10 100 5 WIMP Mass [GeV/c²]

Upper limit of 1.7×10⁻⁴³ cm² (=**170 zeptobarns**!) for a 60 GeV/c² WIMP

Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 011302 (2006) astro-ph/0509259

90% CL upper limits assuming standard halo, *spin-dependent* coupling to neutrons



Phys. Rev. D **73**, 011102 (2006) astroph/0509269

Five Tower Runs (2006-7)



30 ZIPs (5 Towers) installed in Soudan icebox: 4.75 kg Ge, 1.1 kg Si



Significant improvements in new detectors:

- Grounded outer grid eliminates low-yield events from detector-detector crosstalk
- Somewhat reduced **surface contamination** (vs.T2)

Five Tower Yield Bands

Run 123 Neutron Calibration



Five Tower Status

CDMS Detector Operation [5-Tower] WIMP search starts : Sat Oct 21 16:25:08 2006 Last update - Wed Aug 15 16:26:43 2007







Three successful data runs so far:
Run 123 (10/21-3/21): 430 kg-d Ge (raw)
Run 124 (4/20-7/16): 224 kg-d Ge (raw)
Run 125 (7/21-date): ongoing ~7x the 2-Tower exposure so far!

Blind analysis of first two runs in progress Results expected this fall!

Five Tower Status



Five Tower Status



WIMPs at a Zeptobarn

Bulk region •Natural weak scale from light SUSY •G-2 favored, FCNC disfavored

Focus point •Natural weak scale from RGE focusing •Decoupled scalars => low FCNCs







Higgs funnel •Broad resonance (M_A ~ 2 M_X) speeds annihilation

Coannihilation Tail

 Near-degeneracy between LSP and NLSP

"Spectral coincidences"

"Zeptobarn-class" direct detection has substantial discovery potential and complementarity with the LHC

WIMPs at a Zeptobarn

Bulk region •Natural weak scale from light SUSY •G-2 favored, FCNC disfavored

Focus point •Natural weak scale from RGE focusing •Decoupled scalars => low FCNCs

Crossing symmetry!





Higgs funnel •Broad resonance (M_A ~ 2 M_X) speeds annihilation

Coannihilation Tail

 Near-degeneracy between LSP and NLSP

"Spectral coincidences"

"Zeptobarn-class" direct detection has substantial discovery potential and complementarity with the LHC

25kg and Beyond: SuperCDMS

(Formerly known as "CryoArray")

25 kg experiment to explore the zeptobarn scale, now funded by NSF/DOE to run first two SuperTowers at Soudan, then move to **SNOLAB**

- 7 SuperTowers of thick Ge ZIPs
- Improved surface handling
- Improved analysis (some already in hand!)
- Improved detector performance





For references, see <u>http://dmtools.berkeley.edu</u> (Gaitskell, Mandic, Filippini)

25kg and Beyond: SuperCDMS

(Formerly known as "CryoArray")

25 kg experiment to explore the zeptobarn scale, now funded by NSF/DOE to run first two SuperTowers at Soudan, then move to **SNOLAB**

- 7 SuperTowers of thick Ge ZIPs
- Improved surface handling
- Improved analysis (some already in hand!)
- Improved detector performance





For references, see <u>http://dmtools.berkeley.edu</u> (Gaitskell, Mandic, Filippini)

SuperCDMS ZIPs



Source on charge side Ambient background ²⁵²Cf neutrons ¹⁰⁹Cd, low yield ¹⁰ ¹⁰

- 2.5x detector mass (7.6 cm x 2.54 cm)
- => better volume/surface, faster manufacture
- Single mask lithography
- => reliable manufacture
- Improved active AI coverage
- => better "antennas"

Cd-109 calibration of "G3D" at UC Berkeley (July 2007)



Farther future: ongoing work on new ZIP designs and kinetic inductance detectors (**KIDs**) for scalable athermal phonon detection (beyond 25 kg)

Conclusions

- CDMS ZIP detectors have maintained zero background operation down to the 10⁻⁴³ cm² (100 zeptobarn) level
- The 5-Tower run of CDMS II is well underway, pushing to 10⁻⁴⁴ cm² (10 zeptobarn)
 => Results expected this fall!
- SuperCDMS has techniques in hand for next generation cryogenic detectors for the zeptobarn scale





The CDMS Collaboration

Brown University M. Attisha, **R. Gaitskell**, J.-P. Thompson

Caltech Z. Ahmed, S. Golwala

Case Western Reserve University D.S. Akerib, C.N. Bailey, D.R. Grant, R. Hennings-Yeomans, M.R. Dragowsky

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory D.A. Bauer, M.B. Crisler, J. Hall, D. Holmgren, E. Ramberg, J. Yoo

MIT E. Figueroa, S. Hertel, K. McCarthy

NIST K. Irwin

Queens University W. Rau

RWTH-Aachen S. Arrenberg, T. Bruch, L. Baudis, M. Tarka Santa Clara University B.A. Young

Stanford University P.L. Brink, **B. Cabrera**, J. Cooley, W. Ogburn, M. Pyle, S. Yellin

Syracuse University R.W. Schnee

University of California, Berkeley M. Daal, J. Filippini, N. Mirabolfathi, **B. Sadoulet**, D. Seitz, B. Serfass, K. Sundqvist

University of California, Santa Barbara R. Bunker, D. O. Caldwell, R. Mahapatra, **H. Nelson**, J. Sander

University of Colorado at Denver M. E. Huber, B. Hines

University of Florida T. Saab, J. Hoskins

University of Minnesota P. Cushman, L. Duong, M. Fritts, X. Qiu, A. Reisetter



Extra Slides

Background Budget

General

•Reject multiple-scatters

•Reject coincidence with **muon veto shield**

Photons (bulk electron recoils)

- •Pb shielding
- •Yield rejection > 10⁵:1

Neutrons

- •Polyethylene shielding
- •Muon veto
- •=> 0.05/kg-y (Monte Carlo)
- •=> ~0.1 in 1300 kg-d raw

*Betas" (surface electron recoils)
•Low-activity Cu, old air purge, clean handling
•Timing rejection ~ 100:1
•=> Tune cuts for ~0.5 event leakage in given exposure

| | I-Tower counts |
|----------------------|----------------|
| All events | 968,680 |
| Not random trigger | 940,619 |
| Phonon thresholds | 79,460 |
| Single scatter | 20.907 |
| Data quality | 19,027 |
| Pile up | 17,793 |
| Muon veto | 17,622 |
| Ionization threshold | I 4,835 |
| Fiducial volume | 7,615 |
| Nuclear recoil band | 23 |
| Phonon timing | Ι |

Phys. Rev. D 72, 052009 (2005) astro-ph/0507190

Two-Tower "Candidate"

Data selection failure, NOT a WIMP candidate

Two-Tower "Candidate"



Data selection failure, NOT a WIMP candidate

Position Reconstruction



Crucial to correct for position dependencies of athermal phonon signals

Collimated ¹⁰⁹Cd sources (β , 22 keV γ)





CD-AB phonon partition

CD-AB phonon delay $[\mu s]$

50

Data from UC Berkeley calibration of **T2Z5**, née **G31** LTD10: NIM A **520**, 171 (2004)

Position Correction

Correct events by comparisons to neighbors in phonon partition, phonon delay and energy







Event Reconstruction



2048 16-bit samples x 6 traces X 30 detectors + veto = ~1 MB/event

Better Mousetraps

Improved TES tuning

on all detectors





Grounded Q_{outer} grid on T3-5 => fewer outliers



"Fuzz" tags presence of floating metal

Local charge pathologies



Corrected position plot with betas



Slow, low-yield double-scatter events in Ba calibration: charge crosstalk via floating patches of phonon grid

Towers I and 2 only, specialized cut