STABLE MASSIVE PARTICLES AT COULDERS









Why study stable(ish) massive particles?

Nice potential collider discoveries -

- Dark matter is stable
 WIMPs could be produced at LHC (if they exist)

Nasty potential collider discoveries -

- Charged stable objects (not oberved today)
 Iong lived particles (late decay cosmology problems)

Most exciting possibility is nasty discoveries !!!

Plan of talk

Supersymmetry :-

- 1. Gravity mediated SUSY breaking
- 2. Gauge mediated SUSY breaking
- 3. Split SUSY / R-hadrons

Universal Extra dimensions

Little Higgs

TeV Quantum Gravity

Fairbairn, Kraan, Milstead, Sjostrand, Skands and Sloan, Phys.Rep.438,1,2007

Super/ymmetry (SUSY)

The addition of superpartners to the theory

- protects Higgs mass
- aids gauge coupling unification
- provides WIMP dark matter candidate (neutralino)

Constrained Minimal supersymmetric standard model

| Superfield | Bosons | Fermions |
|--|---|--|
| Gauge | | |
| \widehat{G} | g | \widetilde{g} |
| \widehat{V}^{a} | W^a | \widetilde{W}^a |
| \widehat{V}' | В | \widetilde{B} |
| Matter | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} \widehat{L} \\ \widehat{E}^c \end{array} $ | leptons $\begin{cases} \widetilde{L} = (\widetilde{\nu}, \widetilde{e}^{-})_{L} \\ \widetilde{E} = \widetilde{e}_{R}^{+} \end{cases}$ | $\begin{array}{c} (\nu, e^-)_L \\ e^c_L \end{array}$ |
| $egin{array}{c} \widehat{Q} \ \widehat{U}^c \ \widehat{D}^c \end{array}$ | quarks $\begin{cases} \widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{u}_L, \widetilde{d}_L) \\ \widetilde{U}^c = \widetilde{u}_R^* \\ \widetilde{D}^c = \widetilde{d}_R^* \end{cases}$ | $(u,d)_L \ u^c_L \ d^c_L$ |
| $\begin{array}{c} \widehat{H}_d \\ \widehat{H}_u \end{array}$ | Higgs $\begin{cases} H_d^i \\ H_u^i \end{cases}$ | $(\widetilde{H}_d^0, \widetilde{H}_d^-)_L (\widetilde{H}_u^+, \widetilde{H}_u^0)_L$ |

All sfermion masses equal at GUT scale

All gaugino masses equal at GUT scale

Reduced to 5 free parameters

$$\mu, m_0, m_{1/2}, A \text{ and } B \leftrightarrow \tan \beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$$

| SMP | LSP | Scenario | Conditions | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| $\tilde{\tau}_1$ | $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ | MSSM | $	ilde{	au}_1$ mass (determined by $m^2_{	ilde{	au}_{L,R}}$, μ , $\tan \beta$, and $A_{	au}$) close to $	ilde{\chi}^0_1$ mass. | |
| | \tilde{G} | GMSB | Large N, small M, and/or large $\tan \beta$. | |
| | | \tilde{g} MSB | No detailed phenomenology studies, see [23]. | |
| | | SUGRA | Supergravity with a gravitino LSP, see [24]. | |
| | $\tilde{\tau}_1$ | MSSM | Small $m_{\tilde{\tau}_{L,R}}$ and/or large $\tan\beta$ and/or very large A_{τ} . | |
| | | AMSB | Small m_0 , large $\tan \beta$. | |
| | | \tilde{g} MSB | Generic in minimal models. | |
| $\tilde{\ell}_{i1}$ | \tilde{G} | GMSB | $\tilde{\tau}_1$ NLSP (see above). \tilde{e}_1 and $\tilde{\mu}_1$ co-NLSP and also SMP for small $\tan \beta$ and μ . | |
| | $	ilde{	au}_1$ | \tilde{g} MSB | \tilde{e}_1 and $\tilde{\mu}_1$ co-LSP and also SMP when stau mixing small. | |
| $\tilde{\chi}_1^+$ | $	ilde{\chi}^0_1$ | MSSM | $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} \lesssim m_{\pi^+}$. Very large $M_{1,2} \gtrsim 2 \text{ TeV} \gg \mu $ (Higgsino region) or non-universal gaugino masses $M_1 \gtrsim 4M_2$, with the latter condition relaxed to $M_1 \gtrsim M_2$ for $M_2 \ll \mu $. Natural in O-II models, where simultaneously also the \tilde{g} can be long-lived near $\delta_{\text{GS}} = -3$. | |
| | | AMSB | $M_1 > M_2$ natural. m_0 not too small. See MSSM above. | |
| \tilde{g} | $	ilde{\chi}_1^0$ | MSSM | Very large $m_{\tilde{q}}^2 \gg M_3$, e.g. split SUSY. | |
| | \tilde{G} | GMSB | SUSY GUT extensions [25–27]. | |
| | \tilde{g} | MSSM | Very small $M_3 \ll M_{1,2}$, O-II models near $\delta_{\rm GS} = -3$. | |
| | | GMSB | SUSY GUT extensions [25-29]. | |
| \tilde{t}_1 | $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ | MSSM | Non-universal squark and gaugino masses. Small $m_{\tilde{q}}^2$ and M_3 , small $\tan \beta$, large A_t . | |
| \tilde{b}_1 | | | Small $m_{\tilde{q}}^2$ and M_3 , large $\tan \beta$ and/or large $A_b \gg A_t$. | |

Lightest superpartner LSP and next to lightest superpartner NLSP depends upon scenario

Neutralino Dark Matter

Superpartners of neutral gauge and higgs bosons mix into four majorana *neutralinos* which make good WIMP candidate

$$\chi = N_{11}\tilde{B} + N_{12}\tilde{W}_3 + N_{13}\tilde{H}_1^0 + N_{14}\tilde{H}_2^0$$





Gravitino*s*

- Supersymmetric partner of graviton
- curved space global SUSY is broken down to local SUGRA
- goldstino is particle associated with this breaking
- gravitino eats goldstino via Super Higgs mechanism
- gravitino mass therefore depends on SUSY breaking scale

Gravity mediated SUSY breaking

SUSY broken in hidden sector transmitted to visible sector via gravity

$$M_S \sim \sqrt{F} \sim 10^{11-13} \text{ GeV}$$

Masses of superpartners in visible sector

$$m \sim \frac{F}{M_{Pl}} \sim O(\text{TeV})$$

Gravitino mass $m_{\widetilde{G}} \sim \frac{M_S^2}{M_{Pl}}$



Decaying Gravitinos

gravity mediation:- gravitino mass 100 GeV – 100 TeV, and they decay



Ψu

Leads to constraint on reheat temperature, e.g. Kohri et al. 2005

Gauge mediated SUSY breaking

Hidden sector superfield $\langle X
angle = M_S + heta^2 F$ coupled at tree level to messenger

fields $W = \lambda_{ij} \bar{\Phi}_i X \Phi_j$ which in turn give rise to :-



Gravitino LSP and stau NLSP is one typical scenario

Stau decay

$$\Gamma_{\widetilde{\tau}}(\widetilde{\tau} \to \widetilde{G}\tau) = \frac{m_{\widetilde{\tau}}^5}{48\pi m_{\widetilde{G}}^2 M_{\rm P}^2} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\widetilde{G}}^2 + m_{\tau}^2}{m_{\widetilde{\tau}}^2}\right)^4 \left[1 - \frac{4m_{\widetilde{G}}^2 m_{\tau}^2}{(m_{\widetilde{\tau}}^2 - m_{\widetilde{G}}^2 - m_{\tau}^2)^2}\right]^{3/2}$$

Buchmuller et al 2006
$$\simeq (6 \times 10^6 \, {\rm sec})^{-1} \left(\frac{m_{\widetilde{\tau}}}{100 \, {\rm GeV}}\right)^5 \left(\frac{10 \, {\rm GeV}}{m_{\widetilde{G}}}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_{\widetilde{G}}^2}{m_{\widetilde{\tau}}^2}\right)^4$$



Stau decays into gravitino and tau

Photodissociates light elements created during nucleosynthesis

Need to dilute thermal abundance of staus

$$Y_{\widetilde{\tau}} = \frac{1}{\Delta} Y_{\widetilde{\tau}}^{\text{thermal}}$$

Decays outside detector

Distance travelled before decay of NLSP into gravitino

$$c\tau(\widetilde{X} \to X\widetilde{G}) \simeq 100 \ \mu \mathrm{m} \left(\frac{100 \mathrm{GeV}}{m_{\widetilde{X}}}\right)^5 \left(\frac{\sqrt{F}}{100 \mathrm{TeV}}\right)^4 \left(1 - \frac{m_X^2}{m_{\widetilde{X}^2}}\right)^{-4}$$



decays here !

Gauge mediation:less than mm to more than km

| | diameter | weight of the detector | length |
|-------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| ATLAS | 22m | 7Kt | 44m |
| CMS | $15\mathrm{m}$ | $12.5 \mathrm{Kt}$ | $21\mathrm{m}$ |

Need to slow down NLSP or may miss decay

Decays outside detector

ATLAS and CMS not really designed for this!! Could install dense stoppers in CMS to stop charged NLSP (no room in ATLAS cavern)



Measuring the Planck mass

$$M_{\rm pl}^2(\text{supergravity}) = \frac{m_{\tilde{\tau}}^5}{48\pi m_{\tilde{G}}^2 \Gamma_{\tilde{\tau}}} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\tilde{G}}^2 + m_{\tau}^2}{m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2}\right)^4 \left[1 - \frac{4m_{\tilde{G}}^2 m_{\tau}^2}{(m_{\tilde{\tau}}^2 - m_{\tilde{G}}^2 - m_{\tau}^2)^2}\right]^{3/2}$$

• kinematics lead to gravitino mass and stau mass, can therefore measure Planck mass

8 kton detector

Hadronic calorimeter – alternating layers of metal and detectors

Looks for hadronic decays of the tau, measures energy in this way



Plastic foils

Can put plastic foils (thin films) around collision area. Subsequent etching with alkali reveals path of energetic charged particles



Microphotograph of heavily etched sheet which has been bombarded with 200 GeV sulphur ions Alkali reduced sheet thickness from 1.4 mm to 0.2 mm

Split SUSY

Three successes of SUSY:-1.Protection of Higgs mass 2.Gauge coupling unification 3.WIMP dark matter candidate Arkani-Hamed & Dimopoulos 2004

Only 1. requires sfermions at TeV



 $\Lambda \sim 10^{-123} M_{Pl}^{4}$

Fine tuning explained by huge landscape of vacua in string theory

 $M_{higgs}^{2} \sim 10^{-32} M_{Pl}^{2}$

If we are willing to accept this tuning for Λ , why not for the Higgs?

Split SUSY

Arkani-Hamed & Dimopoulos 2004

Three successes of SUSY:-1.Protection of Higgs mass 2.Gauge coupling unification 3.WIMP dark matter candidate

Only 1. requires sfermions at TeV

Light scalar superpartners also give rise to other problems :-

- fast proton decay
- flavour and CP violation
- relatively light Higgs

Set SUSY breaking large, fine tune higgs higgsino and chargino mass protected by symmetry

Gluino decay in Split Su/y



 ${ ilde g} o \chi^0 q {ar q}, \; { ilde g} o \chi^\pm q {ar q}\, ' \qquad { ilde g} o \chi^0 g$

All decay diagrams contain the heavy squarks and are therefore supressed

$$\Gamma_{\tilde{g}} \sim \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}^5}{M_S^4}$$

Gluino lifetime in Split SUSY



 $\Gamma_{\tilde{g}} \sim \frac{m_{\tilde{g}}^5}{M_S^4}$

Gambino, Giudice & Slavich 2005

Cosmological constraints on Split Susy



Shaded regions are ruled out

Arvanitaki et al. 2005

R-hadron detection at ATLAS



Kraan et al hep-ex/0511014

Decay of R-hadrons (gluinos)

- Short Lifetime decay vertices displaced from collision centre
 - event times seperated from bunch crossings
- Medium Lifetime make a note of where your two R-hadrons stopped in the calorimeter. Difference in time between decays measures lifetime.
- Long Lifetime can look for (very) heavy nuclei in calorimeter metal upon the dismantling of the LHC.

Universal extra dimensions

Appelquist et al. 2001

Extra dimensions of size R ~ 1 / TeV into which SM gauge fields propagate



Simplest scenario is 1 extra dimension orbifolded S^1/Z_2

Orbifolding leads to spectrum of Kaluza Klein (KK) modes such that the lightest KK mode is stable.

Potential dark matter candidate (Servant and Tait 2002)

Simplest models fully determined by R^{-1} , m_h , Λ

Mass spectrum of KK particles



Cheng et al. 2006

Decay of next to lightest KK mode can be interesting

MINIMAL universal extra dimensions

Decay of KK mode to graviton supressed, occurs late, creates diffuse background



Small area of parameter space remains for UED – (assuming no late inflation)

Cembranos et al. 2006

little Higgs and T-parity

Composite Higgs attractive –

dimensional tranmutation explains hierarchy between GUT and EW scales

However LEP tells us no strongly coupled physics below 10 TeV

Higgs mass less than 245 GeV favoured by experiment – Little Hierarchy

Solution - assume Higgs is goldstone boson of broken symmetry group at 10 TeV

In order to get successful EW symmetry breaking we then require extra gauge particles around TeV which mess up electroweak precision variable unless they have a parity. **T-parity**

little Higgs and T-parity



T-odd quark and leptons partner masses

TeV Quantum Gravity

d extra dimensions into which only gravity can propogate





Arkani-Hamed et al '98, Antoniadis et al '98

Modified Unertainty Principle at Planck Scale ?

$$\Delta x \ge \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + L_p^2 \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar}$$

Heuristic argument then suggests modified Hawking temperature :-

$$T_{GUP} = \frac{Mc^2}{4\pi} \left[1 \mp \sqrt{1 - M_P^2 / M^2} \right]$$

Temperature goes to zero close to the Planck scale.

Stable remnants at end point of black hole evaporation? Dark matter candidate? (Pisin Chen)

N.B. This is not what string theory predicts...

Endpoint of black hole decay in string theory

Black hole undergoes phase transition to string ball

| Energy | Object | $\sigma(M)$ | Most probable size |
|-----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| $1 < M l_s < 1/g$ | excited string doing random | $g^2 M^2 l_s^4$ | $M^{1/2} l_s^{3/2}$ |
| | walk | unitarity prevents | |
| $1/g < M l_s < 1/g^2$ | self-gravity of excited string restricts growth | growth of cross-section beyond l_s^2 | $1/(g^2 M) < r < M^{1/2} l_s^{3/2}$ |
| $1/g^2 < M l_s$ | black hole | r_{BH}^2 | $g^{2/(D-3)}l_s(l_sM)^{1/(D-3)}$ |

Bowick et al '86, Amati et al '89, Damour and Veneziao '99

KK graviton production in TeV quantum gravity



Giudice, Rattazzi and Wells '98



Discovery of TeV gravity would create big questions in cosmology





Conclusions

Creation of long lived particles At colliders creates new challenges and possibilities for cosmology and particle physics

Lets hope for unexpected surprises !